UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,412	10/10/2003	Eugenie Charriere	1004900-000254	3439
21839 7590 04/01/2010 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	SERGENT, RABON A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/682,412	CHARRIERE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Rabon Sergent	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>No</i> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ The 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 44-49 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 44-49 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a continuous applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific path or declaration is objected to by the specific path of the specific	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/485,533. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/682,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 14, 2008 has been entered.

2. Claims 44-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants have failed to provide adequate support for the amendments to claims 44 and 45 set forth within the amendment of September 14, 2009. Specifically, it is unclear that support exists for changing the basis of the claimed weight ratio. The language, "total of all components comprising isocyanate functions", is not equivalent to the language, "total of isocyanate functions". Furthermore, in view of the fact that it is unclear that support exists for the changing the basis of the claimed weight ratio, it is further unclear that adequate support exists for the amended numerical value.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 44-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 325941.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,412 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

The reference discloses polyisocyanates and their reaction with polyester/polyacrylate polyols to yield polyurethanes, wherein the polyisocyanates have contents of uretidinedione groups and biuret groups that are considered to meet applicants' claims. See entire document, especially abstract and examples.

5. Applicants' response has been considered; however, the response is insufficient to overcome the prior art rejection. The position is taken, in view of the nature of the relied upon evidentiary calculations, that the calculations and results must be set forth in the form of a 37 CFR 1.132 declaration. Furthermore, to the limited extent that the argued calculations are considered to be probative (in the absence of setting them forth within a declaration), it is not seen that the argued results of the calculations correlate to the claimed weight ratio. The claims specify that the weight ratio is based on the total of all components comprising isocyanate functions; however, the argued results of the calculations appear to be based on the mass of the composition. It has not been established that these respective bases are equivalent. Additionally, it appears that applicants' response may be incomplete in that within the text pertaining to Example 2, the last sentence is incomplete. Therefore, it is unclear how to interpret the supplied results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796